



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,269	06/01/2006	Stefan Arnold	LNK-009	3141
31496	7590	04/14/2008		
SMITH PATENT CONSULTING CONSULTING, LLC				
3309 DUKE STREET				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER				
DEBERRY, REGINA M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1647				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/14/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/581,269

**Applicant(s)**

ARNOLD ET AL.

**Examiner**

Regina M. DeBerry

**Art Unit**

1647

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Regina M. DeBerry.(3) Chalin A. Smith.(2) Marianne P. Allen.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all claims (pending claims 1-14).

Identification of prior art discussed: art cited in last Office action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that the pH of tris-(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane (pH range of 5.9-6.8) gave the unexpected stability results of the composition comprising EPO. Discussed possibly amending claim 1 to include the pH range limitation recited in claim 7 to obviate the pending 102e rejection. Applicant was told that submitted arguments/references of unexpected results would be considered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Marianne P. Allen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.